Hile# 02237

791265

10	4	0	*	Q	250	101	T	77	T

30 December 1966

25X1

To: Contracting Officer

Subject:

Contract No.

Request for Change in Scope and Additional Funds

25X1

25X1

25X1

Gentlemen:

Based on recent experience obtained with the assembled Model 635

Film Viewing Table presently under development at it has become apparent that changes are necessary in the basic design concept of the equipment to make it more desirable for use. The present equipment is designed and has been constructed in full accordance with the design objectives as specified in the contract. The change proposed herein is beyond the scope as originally defined and will require a specification change as well as an increase in contract cost.

The problem stems from the mounting location and configuration with respect to the Microscope. The concept for use of equipment is that the operator will be seated in a conventional office chair. The technical representative has informed us that the operator will not use a high drafting stool or operate in a standing position. From human, engineering and operating efficiency standpoints, it is necessary that a comfortable chair be used. With this restriction on the use of the equipment, it is not possible to use the equipment as originally conceived. The investigation and proposed change described below we feel fully accomplishes the objectives as verbally discussed with the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative.

An investigation has been made into possible solutions to this problem at the request of the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative. Various solutions have been considered, including extending the travel of the microscope toward the front of the instrument to put the eyepieces in a more convenient viewing position. However, all approaches along this avenue have resulted in some compromise with the original design objectives, such as reduction of microscope coverage, interference of rhomboids with support castings, or interference with controls. It was decided that the basic problem could not be remedied in this manner without sacrificing other desirable features of the existing equipment. Consequently a different approach was taken in which the basic instrument remains essentially unchanged and an accessory is added to the microscope to permit comfortable viewing. This latter approach is our proposed solution based on considerations of cost, instrument capability, human engineering and delivery.



GROUP I EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

25X1

25X1

	-2-	30 December 1966
The proposed modification which fits onto the moved out toward the operator by ap eyepiece is also changed to a more are required of the microscope. The moved closer to the operator by use mirrors and field lens.	Microscope and poproximately eight favorable one. Moreone interchange	To modifications or changes geable eyepieces are merely
By using the proposed acc realized:	essory eyepiece t	he following advantages are
1. The tilt of the eyepi engineering standpoint.	ece can be optimi	zed from the human
2. The advantages of the No changes in carriages are necessa i.e., 6", where all the knobs are c the advantages that rhomboids cannot be advantaged.	ry. The "Y" moti	operator. It also retains
3. The eyepieces are int drafting stool and use same eyepiec		e operator can still use a
4. The operator may use toward the observer. He can easily interest.	the equipment whe position the pos	n the rhomboids are swung nter over the area of
5. The operator can view	the whole format	with the microscope.
The proposed system alreated using the using the to be excellent. Using the same and field of view was checked befor It was apparent that the deteriorateffect, hardly measurable. The fiebe held to less than 20% by use of mirrors.	Microsc 10X wide angle and after the a ion of resolution ld of view remain	ope. Performance was found e eyepiece, the resolution ddition of the relay optics. is less than 10% and is, in s unchanged. Light loss will
The assembly will be cons	tructed to the hi	ghest commercial standards.

An aluminum alloy will be used for main parts to minimize weight and all other metal parts will be of corrosion resistant materials. The weight of the unit will not exceed 1.5 pounds. Interpupilary distance will be adjustable

from 55 to 75mm and a locking device will be provided.



	-3-	30 December 1966	25 X 1
The development effort will aspects of the viewing problem to de eyepieces for most operators. This determine exact performance characte The final design will then be converunit fabricated. We believe, that weent process will take six weeks.	termine the m will be follow ristics and to ted to manufa	wed by a breadboard system to o finalize the optical component cturing drawings and a prototy	ents. ype
modification of the microscope extensheet is attached. We request that	sion as descr		
contract value by The targe terms and conditions of the contract Effort on final assembly and checkou	, including is		
interference with this proposed modi authority to proceed with the propos- to final delivery of the end item as valid for sixty (60) days from the d- additional information, please don't The Contracting Officer's budgetary price for a production quar-	fication. One ed modificati modified. The are of this labeled to be technical reportity of these	receives on, we will require six weeks his quotation shall remain etter. If we can provide any contact us. resentative has requested a microscope extensions.	
<pre>Very little time has been allowed for our best beouledge it is believed at follows:</pre>			
Quantity	Price	3	ì
10 20 40			25X1
We would suggest that if you for the microscope extension, the for time a finalized price will be sent.	rmal request	sted in a production quotation for quote be submitted, at whi	
i	Very	truly yours,	
			25X1
	Executive	e Vice President	25X1
LHB:aw Enc. (2) Cost Analysis Sheet			A.

